

APPENDIX I

2008 Priority Criteria

**2008 Priority Criteria
for
Florida Intrastate Highway System (FIHS) Roadway Projects - with TIA Revision**

Criteria Summary

Priority Criteria	Points
(1) Congestion (a) 2025 LRTP (b) CMS Tier Analysis (c) Transportation Concurrency Exception Areas	20
(2) Systems Management	15
(3) Safety	15
(4) Evacuation Route	10
(5) Multimodal	10
(6) Environmental Impacts	10
(7) Prior Funding Commitment	10
(8) Economic Development	10
Total	100

Criteria Definitions

For a roadway widening or extension project to be eligible for funding through the MPO Priority Process, the project MUST be included in the MPO's Cost Feasible portion of the Volusia County MPO 2025 Long Range Transportation Plan (table 13.4 or 13.5).

Is this project included in the MPO's Cost Feasible portion of the 2025 Long Range Transportation Plan?

- If **Yes** – the project can be ranked & prioritized.
- If **No** - the project application is rejected.

Is this project on the Florida Intrastate Highway System (FIHS) or the Strategic Intermodal System (SIS), including the Emerging SIS?

- If **Yes** – the project can be ranked & prioritized.
- If **No** - the project application is rejected.

Has the local government applying for the project adopted the MPO’s Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) Guidelines Methodology into its development review process?

- If **Yes** – the project can be ranked & prioritized.
- If **No** - the project application is rejected.

(1) Congestion (20 points)

This criterion has three sub-components: (1) 2025 LRTP, (2) CMS Tier Analysis, and (3) Transportation Concurrency Exception Areas.

(a) 2025 LRTP (10 points)

This sub-criterion looks at whether a proposed project would produce a congestion benefit by improving the level-of-service as shown in the MPO’s 2025 Long Range Transportation Plan. Projects seeking points for a Florida Intrastate Highway System (FIHS) or a Strategic Intermodal System (SIS) road listed on the MPO’s 2025 Long Range Transportation Plan Cost Feasible List (table 13.4 or 13.5 in the Volusia County MPO 2025 LRTP) as a planned improvement.

Benefit	Points
Proposed SIS project does not improve LOS of road	0
Proposed SIS project improves LOS of road by 1 letter grade	7
Proposed SIS project improves LOS of road by more than 1 letter grade	10
Subtotal	10

(b) CMS Tier Analysis (5 points)

This sub-criterion looks at whether a proposed project is included the MPO’s latest adopted Congestion Management Systems (CMS) tier analysis plan. Tiers 1, 2 and 3 are related to existing congestion conditions, while Tiers 4 and 5 are related to future congestion.

Benefit	Points
Proposed project is not included in any CMS Tier	0
Proposed project is included in CMS Tier 5	1
Proposed project is included in CMS Tier 4	2
Proposed project is included in CMS Tier 3	3
Proposed project is included in CMS Tier 2	4
Proposed project is included in CMS Tier 1	5
Subtotal	5

(c) Concurrency Exception Areas (5 points)

This sub-criterion looks at whether a proposed project is inside a Transportation Concurrency Exception Area (TCEA) and is operating at or below its allowable LOS standard, as adopted in a local government’s Comprehensive Plan.

Benefit	Points
Proposed project is within adopted allowable LOS standard	0
Proposed project is below adopted allowable LOS standard	5
Subtotal	5

(2) Systems Management (15 points)

Will the proposed project include components to help manage and prolong the useful life of the project?

Systems Management Benefits	Points
Includes ITS applications (applicant must specify)	5
Exceeds FDOT’s access management standards for state roads (applicant must specify) or Meets FDOT’s access management standards for local roads (applicant must specify)	5
FDOT Bridge Sufficiency Rating <65%	5
Subtotal	15

(3) Safety (15 points)

This criterion looks at the extent of safety benefits that will be derived from a proposed project.

Safety Benefits	Points
On FDOT’s high crash list?	5
Crash ratio ≥ 1	5
Will project reduce potential crashes?	5
Subtotal	15

(4) Evacuation Route (10 points)

Is the proposed project located along any of the Volusia County designated evacuation routes? The points awarded under this criterion will be decided upon by the staff of the Volusia County Emergency Management department. Points will be awarded on whether the proposed

project is along a secondary or primary evacuation route. In addition, the Volusia County Emergency Management staff will award points along a sliding scale based on their professional judgement regarding the project's relative merit. Therefore, if a proposed project is located along a secondary evacuation route the Volusia County Emergency Management staff will award that project anywhere from between one to six points.

Evacuation Route	Points
Not a secondary or primary evacuation route	0
Secondary evacuation route (road signed with shelter signs)	1-6
Primary evacuation route (road signed with evacuation signs)	7-10
Subtotal	10

(5) Multimodal Facilities (10 points)

Does the project have additional multimodal components, such as?

Multimodal Benefits	Points
Project includes a facility for bicyclists (with signed markings)	2
Project includes a facility for pedestrians (i.e., sidewalks)	2
Project includes a dedicated transit lane with transit stops or transit bays that will not interfere with traffic mobility	2
Project includes a new Park-n-Ride facility and/or other multimodal facility	2
Project is located within a Transportation Concurrency Exception Area and has a multimodal component	2
Subtotal	10

(6) Environmental Impacts (10 points)

The project does not adversely impact:

Environmental Impacts	Points
Wetlands	2
Wildlife habitat	2
Noise impact	2
Floodplain impact	2
Outstanding Florida waters and wild and scenic rivers	2
Subtotal	10

(7) Prior Funding Commitment (10 points)

Does the project have funding committed for any phase of the project?

Prior Funding Commitment	Points
No committed funding	0
Planning study funding committed (i.e., an AIS or PLEMO study)	3
PD&E study funding committed	6
Design funding committed	8
Right-of-way funding committed	10
Subtotal	10

(8) Economic Development (10 points)

Can documented support be shown that the proposed roadway project will support development that will have a positive impact with regards to increasing new value-added jobs? Value-added jobs are those that will pay in excess of the Volusia County average hourly wage rate for the applicable year (\$12.47 per hour for the year 2001). Listed below are some examples of uses/industries that would be consistent with this criterion. The list below is not exhaustive, but is shown merely as an example of the types of uses/industries that typically support value-added jobs.

- Manufacturing
- Trucking and Warehousing
- Wholesale Trade
- Corporate Headquarters
- Regional Division Headquarters
- Research and Development Facilities
- Medical and Dental Laboratories
- Computer and Data Processing Services
- Research and Testing
- Aerospace Technology
- Motion Picture Productions & Services, Motion Picture Distribution Services

Economic Development	Points
The roadway's project location will provide a linkage to additional opportunities for industrial site development or 25% of the roadway's project length is within land use/zoning district classified as business/industrial (retail & tourist not included)	2
50% of the roadway's project length is within land use/zoning district classified as business/industrial (retail & tourist not included)	4
75% of the roadway's project length is within land use/zoning district classified as business/industrial (retail & tourist not included)	6
The roadway supports development that is eligible for the Qualified Target Industry Tax Refund Program or The roadway supports development that will provide new capital investment of more than \$3 million	2
The development is identified in the County's or Municipality's Comprehensive Plan - Economic Development Element	2
Maximum Point Assessment	10

**2008 Priority Criteria
for
Regionally Significant Non-FIHS Roadway Projects**

Criteria Summary

Priority Criteria	Points
(1) Functional Classification	10
(2) Congestion	20
(a) 2025 LRTP	
(b) CMS Tier Analysis	
(c) Transportation Concurrency Exception Areas	
(3) Systems Management	15
(4) Safety	15
(5) Evacuation Route	10
(6) Multimodal	10
(7) Environmental Impacts	10
(8) Prior Funding Commitment	10
(9) Economic Development	10
Total	110

Criteria Definitions

For a roadway widening or extension project to be eligible for funding through the MPO Priority Process, the project MUST be included in the MPO's Cost Feasible portion of the Volusia County MPO 2025 Long Range Transportation Plan (tables 13.4 or 13.5).

Is this project included in the MPO's Cost Feasible portion of the 2025 Long Range Transportation Plan?

- If **Yes** – the project can be ranked & prioritized.
- If **No** - the project application is rejected.

Is this project a Federally Functionally Classified Arterial or Collector?

- If **Yes** – the project can be ranked & prioritized.
- If **No** - the project application is rejected.

(1) Functional Classification (10 points)

Is the roadway a federally functionally classified arterial or collector?

Functional Classification	Points
Road is Federally Functionally Classified as an Arterial	10
Road is Federally Functionally Classified as a Collector	3
Subtotal	10

(2) Congestion (20 points)

This criterion has three sub-components: (1) 2025 LRTP, (2) CMS Tier Analysis, and (3) Transportation Concurrency Exception Areas.

(a) 2025 LRTP (10 points)

This sub-criterion looks at whether a proposed project would produce a congestion benefit by improving the level-of-service as shown in the MPO's 2025 Long Range Transportation Plan, or will provide access through a parallel corridor. There are three sub-categories of this criterion, and only one may be used. Therefore, a project cannot receive points on the primary road and for a parallel road simultaneously.

Subsection 2a.1 – FOR EXISTING ARTERIAL ROADS: Criteria to be used for projects seeking points for an existing arterial road listed on the MPO's 2025 Long Range Transportation Plan Cost Feasible List (tables 13.4 or 13.5 in the Volusia County MPO 2025 LRTP) as a planned improvement.

Benefit	Points
Proposed project does not improve LOS of road	0
Proposed project improves LOS of road by 1 letter grade	7
Proposed project improves LOS of road by more than 1 letter grade	10
Subtotal	10

Subsection 2a.2 – FOR COLLECTOR ROADS THAT PROVIDE CONGESTION RELIEF TO STATE HIGHWAY ARTERIAL ROADS: Criteria to be used for projects seeking points for a Collector road that is parallel to a State highway Arterial road. To be eligible for points in this section the primary road (not the parallel road) must be a State road. In addition, the parallel Collector road must be listed on the MPO's 2025 Long Range Transportation Plan Cost Feasible List (tables 13.4 or 13.5 in the Volusia County MPO 2025 LRTP) as a planned improvement.

Benefit	Points
Proposed project is not a parallel road to an existing route with a LOS of D, E or F	0
Proposed project is a parallel road to an existing “FIHS/SIS” highway with a LOS of D	3
Proposed project is a parallel road to an existing State highway with a LOS of E	7
Proposed project is a parallel road to an existing State highway with a LOS of F	10
Subtotal	10

Subsection 2a.3 – FOR COLLECTOR ROADS THAT PROVIDE CONGESTION RELIEF TO NON-STATE HIGHWAY ARTERIAL ROADS: Criteria to be used for projects seeking points for a Collector road that provides congestion relief to a parallel Non-State Arterial road. The parallel Collector road must be listed in the MPO’s 2025 Long Range Transportation Plan Cost Feasible List (tables 13.4 or 13.5 in the Volusia County MPO 2025 LRTP) as a planned improvement.

Benefit	Points
Proposed project is not a parallel road to an existing route with a LOS of E or F	0
Proposed project is a parallel road to an existing Non-State road with a LOS of E	7
Proposed project is a parallel road to an existing Non-State road with a LOS of F	10
Subtotal	10

(b) CMS Tier Analysis (5 points)

This sub-criterion looks at whether a proposed project is included the MPO’s latest adopted Congestion Management Systems (CMS) tier analysis plan. Tiers 1, 2 and 3 are related to existing congestion conditions, while Tiers 4 and 5 are related to future congestion.

Benefit	Points
Proposed project is not included in any CMS Tier	0
Proposed project is included in CMS Tier 5	1
Proposed project is included in CMS Tier 4	2
Proposed project is included in CMS Tier 3	3
Proposed project is included in CMS Tier 2	4
Proposed project is included in CMS Tier 1	5
Subtotal	5

(c) Concurrency Exception Areas (5 points)

This sub-criterion looks at whether a proposed project is inside a Transportation Concurrency Exception Area (TCEA) and is operating at or below its allowable LOS standard, as adopted in a local government’s Comprehensive Plan.

Benefit	Points
Proposed project is within adopted allowable LOS standard	0
Proposed project is below adopted allowable LOS standard	5
Subtotal	5

(3) Systems Management (15 points)

Will the proposed project include components to help manage and prolong the useful life of the project?

Systems Management Benefits	Points
Includes ITS applications (applicant must specify)	5
Exceeds FDOT’s access management standards for state roads (applicant must specify) or Meets FDOT’s access management standards for local roads (applicant must specify)	5
FDOT Bridge Sufficiency Rating <65%	5
Subtotal	15

(4) Safety (15 points)

This criterion looks at the extent of safety benefits that will be derived from a proposed project.

Safety Benefits	Points
On FDOT's high crash list?	5
Crash ratio ≥ 1	5
Will project reduce potential crashes?	5
Subtotal	15

(5) Evacuation Route (10 points)

Is the proposed project located along any of the Volusia County designated evacuation routes? The points awarded under this criteria will be decided upon by the staff of the Volusia County Emergency Management department. Points will be awarded on whether the proposed project is along a secondary or primary evacuation route. In addition, the Volusia County Emergency Management staff will award points along a sliding scale based on their professional judgement regarding the project's relative merit. Therefore, if a proposed project is located along a secondary evacuation route the Volusia County Emergency Management staff will award that project anywhere from between one to six points.

Evacuation Route	Points
Not a secondary or primary evacuation route	0
Secondary evacuation route (road signed with shelter signs)	1-6
Primary evacuation route (road signed with evacuation signs)	7-10
Subtotal	10

(6) Multimodal Facilities (10 points)

Does the project have additional multimodal components, such as?

Multimodal Benefits	Points
Project includes a facility for bicyclists (with signed markings)	2
Project includes a facility for pedestrians (i.e., sidewalks)	2
Project includes a dedicated transit lane with transit stops or transit bays that will not interfere with traffic mobility	2
Project includes a new Park-n-Ride facility and/or other multimodal facility	2
Project is located within a Transportation Concurrency Exception Area and has a multimodal component	2
Subtotal	10

(7) Environmental Impacts (10 points)

The project does not adversely impact:

Environmental Impacts	Points
Wetlands	2
Wildlife habitat	2
Noise impact	2
Floodplain impact	2
Outstanding Florida waters and wild and scenic rivers	2
Subtotal	10

(8) Prior Funding Commitment (10 points)

Does the project have funding committed for any phase of the project?

Prior Funding Commitment	Points
No committed funding	0
Planning study funding committed (i.e., an AIS or PLEMO study)	3
PD&E study funding committed	6
Design funding committed	8
Right-of-way funding committed	10
Subtotal	10

(9) Economic Development (10 points)

Can documented support be shown that the proposed roadway project will support development that will have a positive impact with regards to increasing new value-added jobs? Value-added jobs are those that will pay in excess of the Volusia County average hourly wage rate for the applicable year (\$12.47 per hour for the year 2001). Listed below are some examples of uses/industries that would be consistent with this criterion. The list below is not exhaustive, but is shown merely as an example of the types of uses/industries that typically support value-added jobs.

- Manufacturing
- Trucking and Warehousing
- Wholesale Trade
- Corporate Headquarters
- Medical and Dental Laboratories
- Computer and Data Processing Services
- Research and Testing
- Aerospace Technology

- Regional Division Headquarters
- Research and Development Facilities
- Motion Picture Productions & Services,
Motion Picture Distribution Services

Economic Development	Points
The roadway's project location will provide a linkage to additional opportunities for industrial site development or 25% of the roadway's project length is within land use/zoning district classified as business/industrial (retail & tourist not included)	2
50% of the roadway's project length is within land use/zoning district classified as business/industrial (retail & tourist not included)	4
75% of the roadway's project length is within land use/zoning district classified as business/industrial (retail & tourist not included)	6
The roadway supports development that is eligible for the Qualified Target Industry Tax Refund Program or The roadway supports development that will provide new capital investment of more than \$3 million	2
The development is identified in the County's or Municipality's Comprehensive Plan - Economic Development Element	2
Maximum Point Assessment	10

**2008 Priority Criteria
for
Traffic Operations/ITS/Safety Projects**

Criteria Summary

Priority Criteria	Points
(1) Project Implementation Schedule	15
(2) Operational Benefits	15
(3) Safety Benefits	25
(4) Access Management or ITS Benefits	20
(5) Infrastructure Impacts	20
(6) Location	5
Total	100

Criteria Definitions

(1) Project Implementation Schedule (15 points)

This criterion looks at the amount of work required to develop the project and get it ready for construction. The closer a project is to the construction phase, the more points it is eligible for. Check the appropriate box and describe what phases of work need to be completed in order to construct the proposed project. When putting your application together please keep in mind the time it takes to acquire right-of-way, as well as to include a copy of any studies, designs, or permits (see notes below for a more detailed explanation).

Phasing Needed^{1, 2}	Points
Study, Design, Right-of-Way, Permits, & Construction	
Design, Right-of-Way, Permits, & Construction	
Right-of-Way, Permits, & Construction	
Design, Permits, & Construction	
Permits & Construction	
Construction	
Subtotal	15

¹ ROW Acquisition normally takes 18-24 months and since XU Funding is Federal funding, Federal ROW Acquisition procedures must be followed.

² Applicant will need to provide project schedule, including all phases that have been completed; otherwise, MPO staff will assume ALL Phases will need to be completed.

(2) Operational Benefits (15 points)

This criterion looks at the extent of traffic operational benefits that will be derived from a proposed project. Check the appropriate boxes and describe the operational benefits of the proposed project. When putting your application together please include a copy of any approved signal warrant or street lighting studies.

Operational Benefits	Points	
Existing volume to capacity ratio (i.e., existing congestion severity)	< 0.75	0
	0.75 to 0.99	1
	1.00 to 1.25	2
	>1.25	3
Future volume to capacity ratio (i.e., future congestion severity if no improvements are made – 2025 E+C volume to capacity ratio)	< 0.75	0
	0.75 to 0.99	1
	1.00 to 1.25	2
	>1.25	3
Mobility Impact (i.e., level of increased mobility that a project will provide)	- None	0
	- Bike, Ped. or Transit	1
	- Access Mgmt, ITS or Critical Bridge	2
	- Intersection Impr. or widening	3
Approved Signal Warrant (New Signals Only), Left Turn Phase Warrant, Left Turn Lane Warrant, Street Light Warrant or widening justification ¹		3
Hurricane Evacuation or Secondary Evacuation Route Upgrade of Critical Traffic Signal to mast arm		3
Subtotal	15	

¹ Attach Warrant Study to application; otherwise MPO staff will assume that a Warrant Study justifying the improvement has not been completed.

(3) Safety Benefits (25 points)

This criterion looks at the extent of safety benefits that will be derived from a proposed project. The distinction between the categories of benefits will be coordinated with the Community Traffic Safety Teams (CTST).

Safety Benefits¹	Points
On Florida DOT's High Crash List?	4
Intersection Crash Rate \geq 2 per million entering vehicles	5
Corridor Crash Rate \geq 2 per vehicle million miles	4
Provide hospital access to trauma center	4
Street lights needed (Nighttime to Daytime Crash Rate \geq 2)	4
Provides pedestrian path across Rail Road Crossing	4
Subtotal	25

(4) Provides Access Management or ITS Benefits (20 points)

This criterion looks at the extent of providing mobility for a given project. Check the appropriate boxes and describe any access management or ITS benefits of the proposed project. When putting your application together please document each benefit that the proposed project will provide.

Access Management or ITS Benefits	Points
Add non-traversable median greater than 50% project length ¹	4
Add curb/gutter at intersection or greater than 50% project length ¹	4
Closes minor intersections or crossovers ²	4
Reduces access points (driveways or driveway widths) ²	4
Eliminates existing At-Grade RR Crossing or on-street parking ²	4
Provides Traffic Signal Preemption to emergency vehicles	4
Connects three or more traffic signals	6
New Connection to Computerized Signal System	6
Maximum Subtotal	20

¹ Construction of median or curb/gutter may involve extensive drainage work and permitting.

² Agency should inform affected public or commercial interest through Public Meeting Notification.

(5) Infrastructure Impacts (20 points)

This criterion looks at impacts to adjoining public or private infrastructure, which may be in the way of the project. The less existing infrastructure is impacted the more points a project will score.

Infrastructure Impacts	Points
Requires extensive drainage work to relocate or install new curb inlets or drainage system or no project study completed ¹	0
Extends pipes in rural drainage system ¹	2
Does not require drainage work ¹	6
Does not require relocation of private gas utility or fiber optic comm. Cable ²	3
Does not require relocation of public/private water or sewer utility ²	3
Does not require relocation of telephone, power, cable TV utilities ³	3
Does not require destruction of specimen or historic trees ≥ 18" diameter	3
Subtotal	20

¹ ADA pedestrian crossings at intersections may impact drainage significantly. Attached Traffic Study should address drainage impacts.

² Typically, these are underground utilities that can only be determined by a complete set of plans. Attach plans showing no impacts; otherwise, assumption is in urban area utilities will be affected.

³ Typically, above ground utilities are not affected except for widening and turn lane projects.

(6) Location (5 points)

This criterion looks at where a proposed project will be located. This criterion gives more points to projects that are located on roads that are classified at a higher level. If a project is located on more than one road, the road that has the highest classification will be used to allocate points.

Project Located on a...	Points
Non-Federal Functionally Classified Road	0
Local Road (Federal Functional Classification)	1
Minor Collector Road (Federal Functional Classification)	2
Major Collector Road (Federal Functional Classification)	3
Minor Arterial Road (Federal Functional Classification)	4
Principal Arterial Road (Federal Functional Classification)	5
Subtotal	5

**2008 Volusia County MPO
XU Bicycle/Pedestrian Feasibility Studies
Project Proposal Criteria**

Criteria Summary:

Priority Criteria	Points
(1) Proximity to Community Assets	30
(2) Connectivity	30
(3) Safety	25
(4) Public Support/Special Considerations	5
(5) Local Matching Funds > 25%	10
Total	100

Criteria Definitions

(1) Proximity to Community Assets (30pts)

This measure will estimate the potential demand of bicyclists and pedestrians based on the number of productions or attractions the facility may serve within a 1 mile radius for Trail/Side-paths or a ½ mile radius for Sidewalks. A maximum of 30 points will be assessed overall, and individual point assignments will be limited as listed below.

Proximity to Community Assets	Points
Residential developments, apartments, community housing	5
Activity centers, Town centers, office parks, post office, city hall/government buildings, shopping plaza, malls, retail centers	5
Parks, trail facilities, recreational facilities	5
Medical/Health Facilities, Nursing Homes, Assisted Living, Rehabilitation center	5
School Bus Stop	5
Schools	5
Maximum Point Assessment	30

(2) Connectivity (30pts)

This criterion considers the gaps that exist in the current network of bike lanes, bike paths and sidewalks. The measurement will assess points based on the ability of the proposed project to join disconnected networks or complete fragmented facilities.

Network Connectivity	Points
Project provides access to a transit facility	5
Project extends an existing bicycle/pedestrian facility (at one end of the facility)	5
Project provides a connection between two existing or planned/programmed bicycle/pedestrian facilities	10
Project has been identified as “needed” in an adopted document (i.e. A comprehensive plan, master plan, arterial study)	10
Maximum Point Assessment	30

(3) Safety (25pts)

This measure provides additional weight to applications that have included safety as a component of the overall project and includes school locations identified as hazardous walking/biking zones and areas with significant number of safety concerns.

Safety	Points
The project is located in an area identified as a hazardous walk/bike zone by Volusia County Schools	15
The project removes or reduces potential conflicts (bike/auto and ped/auto).	0 to 10
Maximum Point Assessment	25

(4) Public Support/Special Considerations (5pts)

This is an opportunity for applicant to provide other relevant data that may provide **additional** information as related to the project application.

Special Considerations	Points
Documented public support is provided for the project. Additional special considerations?	0-5
Maximum Point Assessment	5

(5) Local Matching Funds > 25% (10pts)

If local matching funds greater than 25% of the estimated project cost are available, describe the local matching fund package in detail.

Local Matching Funds > 25%	Points
A local matching fund package greater than 25% of the estimated project cost will be provided.	0-10
Maximum Point Assessment	10

**2008 Volusia County MPO
Enhancement Bicycle/Pedestrian Projects
Project Proposal Criteria**

Criteria Summary

Priority Criteria	Points
(1) Proximity to Community Assets	30
(2) Connectivity	25
(3) Existing Demand	10
(4) Proximity to Transit	10
(5) Safety	25
Total	100

Criteria Definitions

(1) Proximity to Community Assets (30 Points)

This measure will estimate the potential demand of bicyclists and pedestrians based on the number of productions or attractions the facility may serve within a 1 mile radius for Trail/Side-paths or a ½ mile radius for Sidewalks. A maximum of 30 points will be assessed overall, and individual point assignments will be limited as listed below.

Proximity to Community Assets	Points
Residential developments, apartments, community housing	5
Activity centers, Town centers, office parks, post office, city hall/government buildings, shopping plaza, malls, retail centers	5
Parks, trail facilities, recreational facilities	5
Medical/Health Facilities, Nursing Homes, Assisted Living, Rehabilitation center	5
School Bus Stop	5
Schools	5
Maximum Point Assessment	30

(2) Connectivity (25 points)

This criterion considers the gaps that exist in the current network of bike lanes, bike paths and sidewalks. The measurement will assess points based on the ability of the proposed project to join disconnected networks or complete fragmented facilities.

Network Connectivity	Points
Project extends an existing bicycle/pedestrian facility (at one end of the facility)	5
Project provides a connection between two existing or planned/programmed bicycle/pedestrian facilities	10
Project has been identified as “needed” in an adopted document (i.e. A comprehensive plan, master plan, arterial study)	25
Maximum Point Assessment	25

(3) Existing Demand (10 points)

Existing demand in an area not served by bicycle or pedestrian facilities is one way in which a project application can show its need. Another way to illustrate the need for a project is its level of public support.

Indicator of Demand	Points
Is there a documented indication of obvious demand?	1-5
Is documented public support provided for the project?	0-10
Maximum Point Assessment	10

(4) Proximity to Transit (10 Points)

Patrons of the VOTRAN bus service must walk or ride a bicycle to and from the bus stops. This component of the priority ranking assigns a score for bicycle and pedestrian projects that provide direct access to transit routes.

Number of Routes	Points
0	0
1-3	5
> 3	10
Max. Point Assessment	10

(5) Safety (25 Points)

This measure provides additional weight to applications that have included safety as a component of the overall project and includes school locations identified as hazardous walking/biking zones and areas with significant number of safety concerns.

Safety	Points
Is the project located in an area identified as a hazardous walk/bike zone by Volusia County Schools?	15
Does the project remove or reduce potential conflicts (bike/auto and ped/auto)? Is there a pattern of bike/ped crashes along the project route? Please provide documentation such as photos or video of current situation/site or any supportive statistics or studies (0 to 10 points will be assessed based on supporting information)	0-10
Maximum Point Assessment	25

APPENDIX II -

Abbreviations & Acronyms

APPENDIX II ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

STATE AND FEDERAL FUND CODES

ACCM Advance Construction (CM)	BRP State Bridge Replacement	DSB0 Unallocated to Facility
ACER Advance Construction (ER)	BRRP State Bridge Repair and Rehab	DU State Primary/Federal Reimbursable
ACFD Advance Construction (FD/OFA)	BRTD Fed Bridge Replacement - Discretionary	ERH 100% Fed Emer.- Hurricane
ACF0 AC for High Priority	BU Db, Urban Areas > 200K	FAA Federal Aviation Administration
ACI Advance Construction/\$150M (I)	BZAC BRTZ (AC/Regular)	FBD Ferry Boat Discretionary
ACPL Advance Construction (PL)	CIGP County Incentive Grant Program	FCO Primary/Fixed Capital Outlay
ACSA Advance Construction (SA)	CM Congestion Mitigation – ACQ	FDSU FTA Rural (5311 equivalent) – Administered by FDOT
ACSE Advance Construction (SE)	COE Corp of Engineers (Non-Budget)	FEDR Federal Research Activities
ACSH Advance Construction (SH)	D Unrestricted State Primary	FEMA Fed Emergency Mgt Assistance
ACSL Advance Construction (SL)	DA Defense Access	FHPP Federal High Priority Projects
ACSN Advance Construction (SN)	DC State Primary PE Consultants	FPFC Seaport Project financed by FPFC
ACSP Advance Construction (SP)	DCM State Offset of CM Funds	FSF1 ARRA State Flexible
ACSR Advance Construction (SR)	DDR District Dedicated Revenue	FSSE ARRA Enhancement
ACSS Advance Construction (SS)	DEM Environmental Mitigation	FSSL ARRA Areas < 200k Population
ACSU Advance Construction (SU)	DFTA Fed Pass - Through \$ From FTA	FSSN ARRA Areas < 5k Population
ACXA Advance Construction (XA)	DI St. - S/W Inter/Intrastate Hwy	FSSU ARRA Areas > 200k Population
ACXL Advance Construction (XL)	DIH State In-house Product Support	FRA Federal Railroad Administration
ACXU Advance Construction (XU)	DIM State – Intermodal Development	FRAD FRA Grant Payback
ARRA American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009	DIOH State 100% - Overhead	FRM4 STP Earmarks - 2004
BA Donor Bonus, Any Area	DIRS Advanced Acquisition - Intra. Corr.	FTA Federal Transit Administration
BL Db, Areas <= 200k	DL Local Funds - PTO - Budgeted	FTAD FTA Funds Comm. By TD Comm.
BNBR Amendment 4 Bonds (Bridges)	DPTO State Public Transportation Operations	FTAT FHWA Transfer to FTA (non-bud)
BNCA Bond - Controlled Access	DS State Primary Highways and PTO	F330 Sec 330 STP Earmarks 2003
BNDS Bond – State	DSB Pri Consult/Reimbursed by bonds	HP Federal Highway Planning
BNIR Intrastate R/W and Bridge Bonds		HR Federal Highway Research
BNPK Amendment 4 Bonds		
BRAC BRT (AC/Regular)		

I Fed Interstate/State Primary
 ID Interstate/Discretionary
 IFLA I Florida
 IMAC IM (AC/Regular)
 IR Interstate Rehabilitation
 IVH Intelligent Vehicle Highway Sys
 LF Local Funds
 LFD "LF" for STTF Utility Work
 LFF Local Fund - for Matching F/A
 LFR Local Funds/Reimbursable
 LFRF Local Fund Reimbursable-Future
 MA Min. Allocation (any area)
 MABP Min. Allocation Bridges (non-BRT)
 MABR Min. Allocation Bridges (BRT)
 MAIR Min. Allocation Intrastate System
 MANH Min. Allocation (NH)
 MCSA Motor Carrier Safety Assist.
 MG Minimum Guarantee
 MGBP Min. Guarantee Bridge Program
 MGNH Minimum Guarantee for NH
 ML MA Areas <= 200k
 MU MA Urban Areas > 200k
 NCPD National Corridor Plan and Dev
 NHAC NH (AC/Regular)

NHBR National Highway Bridge
 NHTS National Hwy Traffic Safety
 PL Metro Plan (85% FA; 15% other)
 PLHD Public Lands Highway Discr.
 PORT Seaports
 RBRP Reimbursable BRP Funds
 RECT Recreational Trails
 RED Redistribution of FA (Sec 1102F)
 SA STP, Any Area
 SB Scenic Byways
 SBS Seat Belt Safety
 SCOP Small County Outreach Program
 SCOR Concrete Corrosion Inhibitors
 SCRA Small County Resurfacing
 SE STP, Enhancement
 SED State Economic Development
 SH STP, Hazard Elimination
 SIBA Additional Federal Funds For SIB
 SIBD State Funded Infrastructure Bank
 SIBT State Infrastructure Tpk
 SIB1 State Infrastructure Bank
 SL STP, Areas < 200k
 SN STP, Mandatory, Non-Urban
 SP STP, RR Protective Devices
 SR STP, RR Hazard Elimination

SS STP, Safety
 SSM Fed Support Services/Minority
 SU STP, Urban Areas > 200k
 S99A Trans to SIB From NH, IM, BRT
 TCP Fuel Tax Compliance Project
 TCSP Trans., Community & System Pres.
 TDDR Transportation Disadvantaged – DDR Use
 TDTF Transportation Disadvantaged - Trust Fund
 TFRT Toll Facility Revolving Trust Fund
 TIFI Trans. Infrast. Fin. & Innov. Act
 TOP Transportation Outreach Program
 TRIP Transportation Regional Incentive Program
 TSIN Safety for Non - Construction
 TSIR Safety for Research Activities
 TSM Transport Systems Mgmt.
 XA Any Area
 XBR Rollup Fed. Bridge (BRT+MABR)
 XL Areas <= 200k Population
 XU Areas > 200k Population

LOCAL FUND CODES

9th GT 9th Cent Local Option Gas Tax
 CIGP County Incentive Grant Program
 IFZ1 Impact Fee Zone 1
 IFZ2 Impact Fee Zone 2
 IFZ3 Impact Fee Zone 3

IFZ4 Impact Fee Zone 4
 LAP Local Area Program
 LF Local Funds
 LF/FED Local or Federal Funds for Candidate Project

LFF Local Funds for Matching F/A
 LFP Local Funds - Private
 LFR Local Funds/Reimbursable
 LOGT Local Option Gas Tax

PHASE CODES

ADM Administration
CAP Capital
CST Construction
ENG Engineering
ENV Environmental
LAP Local Agency Program
MAT Maintenance
MNT Maintenance

MSC Miscellaneous Transportation
Improvements
OPR Operations
OPS Operations
PD&E Project Development and
Environmental
PDE Project Development and
Environmental
PE Preliminary Engineering (Design)

PLN Planning
ROW Right-of-Way Acquisition
RRU Rail Road Utilities

APPENDIX III -
FY 2008/09 Obligated Federal Projects

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

=====
FEDERAL OBLIGATIONS REPORT
INPUT SELECTION

FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR = 2009 (10/01/2008 - 09/30/2009)

SORT = S (BY ITEM)

DISTRICT/COUNTY/STATEWIDE = VOLUSIA MPO

10/16/09
 16.16.50
 VOLUSIA MPO

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 FEDERAL OBLIGATIONS - PROJECT DETAIL
 FFY 2009 (10/01/2008 - 09/30/2009)

PAGE 1
 FPMOBL10(A)

 HIGHWAYS

ITEM NO	DESCRIPTION	OLD ITEM							
COUNTY	RDWY ID	PROJ LGTH	TYPE OF WORK	EXIST/IMPROVE/ADD (LANES)	PRELIMINARY	RIGHT-OF-WAY	RAILROADS &	CONSTRUCTION	GRANTS &
FEDERAL AID NUMBER	FAC	AUTH DATE	FUND	ENGINEERING	UTILITIES	MISC.			
2408051	SR 44		5119185						
FROM WEST RAMPS OF I-4 TO SR 415									
VOLUSIA RIGHT OF WAY - FUTURE CAPACITY									
79070000	10.515 MI	2	2	2					
3401 028 P			MG	129,457	0	0	0	0	0
2409921	SR 5 (US1)		5119374						
AT US1/SR430 (MASON AVE) AND US1/SR40 (GRANADA)									
VOLUSIA INTERSECTION (MODIFY)									
79030000	4.317 MI	4	4	0					
4857 037 P			SU	37,528	0	0	0	0	0
2427031									
VOLUSIA PD&E/EMO STUDY									
	.000	0	0	0					
0042 174 I			NH	43,995	0	0	0	0	0
4044191	SR 600 US 92								
FROM SR 5A NOVA RD TO SR 5 US 1									
VOLUSIA LANDSCAPING									
79060000	1.200 MI	4	4	0					
FL61 001 R			EB	0	0	0	97	0	0
4068696	I-95								
FROM 0.5 MILE N OF SR 44 SOUTH OF I-4									
VOLUSIA ADD LANES & REHABILITATE PVMNT									
79002000	10.391 MI	6	4	2					
0954 126 I			NHAC	0	1,149,220	0	0	0	0
4084631	I-4								
EAST OF SR 472 TO EAST OF SR 44									
VOLUSIA ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT									
79110000	4.611 MI	4	4	2					
0042 202 I			NH	-29,803	0	0	0	0	0
			NHAC	110,853	0	0	0	0	0
0042 206 I			MGNH	0	999	0	0	0	0
			NHAC	0	2,201,674	0	0	0	0
** ITEM TOTALS **				81,050	2,202,673	0	0	0	0

10/16/09
 16.16.50
 VOLUSIA MPO

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 FEDERAL OBLIGATIONS - PROJECT DETAIL
 FFY 2009 (10/01/2008 - 09/30/2009)

PAGE 2
 FPMOBL10(A)

 HIGHWAYS

ITEM NO	DESCRIPTION	OLD ITEM	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	RIGHT-OF-WAY	RAILROADS & UTILITIES	CONSTRUCTION	GRANTS & MISC.
4084641	I-4 FROM E OF SR 44 TO W OF I-95 VOLUSIA 79110000 12.340 MI 0042 201 I	ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT NHAC	0	0	0	0	280,000
4117773	VOLUSIA SFTL 174 R .000	TRAFFIC SIGNALS HPP	0	0	0	17,839	0
4117811	SR A1A FROM SR5 (RIDGEWOOD AVE) TO DAVIS STREET VOLUSIA 79180000 6.531 MI 4224 054 C	RESURFACING ACSA SU	0 0	0 0	0 0	1,496,326 2,793,822	0 0
	** ITEM TOTALS **		0	0	0	4,290,148	0
4154343	EAST CENTRAL FL RAIL TRAIL FROM SR 415 TO BREVARD CO LINE VOLUSIA 8887 584 A .000	BIKE PATH/TRAIL ACSE SE	0 350,000	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	** ITEM TOTALS **		350,000	0	0	0	0
4154344	EAST CENTRAL FL RAIL TRAIL FROM CR 4164 TO DALE AVENUE VOLUSIA 8887 585 A .000	BIKE PATH/TRAIL ACSE SE	0 100,000	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	** ITEM TOTALS **		100,000	0	0	0	0
4154921	CR 2813 (AIRPORT RD) FROM OCEAN PINES DRIVE TO WEST OF US 1 VOLUSIA 79000088 1.800 MI 4224 059 C	PAVE SHOULDERS HSP	0	0	0	840,815	0

HIGHWAYS

ITEM NO	DESCRIPTION	OLD ITEM	TYPE OF WORK		PRELIMINARY	RIGHT-OF-WAY	RAILROADS &	CONSTRUCTION	GRANTS &
COUNTY	RDWY ID	PROJ LGTH	EXIST/IMPROVE/ADD (LANES)	AUTH DATE	FUND	ENGINEERING	UTILITIES		MISC.
FEDERAL AID NUMBER	FAC								
4163911	I-95								
FROM US 1 TO US1 AT FLAGLER CO LINE									
VOLUSIA ITS FREEWAY MANAGEMENT									
79002000	4.904 MI	0	0	0					
ITS5 016 A					NHAC	0	0	7,390	0
4172051	SR 600 (US 92)								
FROM SR15(17-92 WOODLAND) TO KEPLER RD									
VOLUSIA BIKE PATH/TRAIL									
79060000	2.638 MI	0	0	0					
3441 024 P					ACSA	0	0	53,800	0
					SE	0	0	1,278,402	0
3441 025 P					ACSE	-95,363	0	0	0
					SE	128,476	0	0	0
					** ITEM TOTALS **	33,113	0	1,332,202	0
4191621	SR 442								
@ AIRPARK BLVD INTERSECTION									
VOLUSIA TRAFFIC SIGNAL UPDATE									
79210000	.148 MI	4	0	0					
3281 009 P					HSP	0	0	8,235	0
4195891	SR 44								
FROM LAKE CO LINE TO BOUNDARY AVENUE									
VOLUSIA RESURFACING									
79070000	5.367 MI	2	2	0					
3401 040 P					ACSA	0	0	401,537	0
					SA	0	0	1,437,477	0
					** ITEM TOTALS **	0	0	1,839,014	0
4196611	DUNN AVE EXTENSION								
TOMOKA FARMS RD WILLIAMSON BLVD									
VOLUSIA ADD LANES & RECONSTRUCT									
79000109	.612 MI	0	0	2					
SFT1 237 R					HPP	0	1,434,498	0	0
4197721	I-95								
N ORMOND BUSINESS PARK INTERCHANGE									
VOLUSIA PD&E/EMO STUDY									
79002000	1.000 MI	0	0	0					
SFTL 223 R					HPP	16,314	0	0	0

 HIGHWAYS

ITEM NO	DESCRIPTION	OLD ITEM							
COUNTY	RDWY ID	PROJ LGTH	TYPE OF WORK	EXIST/IMPROVE/ADD (LANES)	PRELIMINARY	RIGHT-OF-WAY	RAILROADS &	CONSTRUCTION	GRANTS &
FEDERAL AID NUMBER	FAC	AUTH DATE	FUND	ENGINEERING	UTILITIES	MISC.			
4220242	SR 600 US 92		END RIGID PAVEMENT						
	FROM E OF CLARK BAY RD TO		RIGID PAVEMENT REHABILITATION						
VOLUSIA									
79060000	8.244 MI	2	2	0					
4224 057 C			ACSA	791,713	0	0	0	0	0
4220261	SR 44		RESURFACING						
	FROM HILL AVE TO W OF CR 4139/SUMMIT AV								
VOLUSIA									
79070000	2.380 MI	2	2	0					
3401 044 P			ACSA	0	0	0	0	0	0
			EB	0	0	0	1,016,606	0	0
	** ITEM TOTALS **			0	0	0	1,016,606	0	0
4220271	SR 44		RESURFACING						
	FROM JUNGLE RD TO 570' S OF 6TH AVE								
VOLUSIA									
79070000	4.422 MI	4	4	0					
3401 043 P			ACSA	0	0	0	43,050	0	0
			EB	0	0	0	2,178,202	0	0
	** ITEM TOTALS **			0	0	0	2,221,252	0	0
4220311	SR 44		RESURFACING						
	FROM RIVERSIDE DR TO PENNINSULA AVE								
VOLUSIA									
79170000	1.433 MI	2	2	0					
3401 042 P			ACSA	0	0	0	700,412	0	0
4236251	BAXTER STREET		SIDEWALK						
	FROM ALCAZAR STREET TO US 17								
VOLUSIA									
	.000	0	0	0					
8887 508 A			SR2S	20,000	0	0	0	0	0
4239691	CR 4162 DOYLE RD		PAVE SHOULDERS						
	FROM SAXON BLVD TO COURTLAND BLVD								
VOLUSIA									
79000001	2.521 MI	0	0	0					
4224 063 C			HSP	0	0	0	843,450	0	0

10/16/09
 16.16.50
 VOLUSIA MPO

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 FEDERAL OBLIGATIONS - PROJECT DETAIL
 FFY 2009 (10/01/2008 - 09/30/2009)

PAGE 5
 FPMOBL10(A)

 HIGHWAYS

ITEM NO	DESCRIPTION	OLD ITEM	TYPE OF WORK			PRELIMINARY	RIGHT-OF-WAY	RAILROADS & UTILITIES	CONSTRUCTION	GRANTS & MISC.
COUNTY	RDWY ID	PROJ LGTH	EXIST/IMPROVE/ADD (LANES)	AUTH DATE	FUND	ENGINEERING				
FEDERAL AID NUMBER	FAC									
4240041	BROADWAY AVE FEC CROSSING # 271917-R VOLUSIA		RAIL SAFETY PROJECT							
	.000		0 0 0							
00S5 046 J			RHH			0	0	223,830	0	0
4240051	GEORGE ENGRAM BLVD (CYPRESS ST) FEC CROSSING# 271936-V VOLUSIA		RAIL SAFETY PROJECT							
	.000		0 0 0							
00S5 046 J			RHH			0	0	229,890	0	0
4240481	COMPUTERIZED SIGNAL SYSTEM COUNTYWIDE VOLUSIA		TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES/SYSTEM							
	.000		0 0 0							
8887 500 A			SU			0	0	0	503,100	0
4240491	SR 15/600 (US 17-92) AT Highbanks Road Intersection VOLUSIA		TRAFFIC OPS IMPROVEMENT							
	.001 MI		0 0 0							
4011 056 P			SU			0	0	0	379,500	0
4240531	TAYLOR RD AT DEVON ST VOLUSIA		TRAFFIC OPS IMPROVEMENT							
	.003 MI		0 0 0							
8887 501 A			SU			20,250	0	0	0	0
4240541	ORANGE AVE SIDEWALK FROM TARRAGONA WAY TO NOVA ROAD VOLUSIA		BIKE PATH/TRAIL							
	.000		0 0 0							
8887 502 A			SU			10,000	0	0	0	0
4240581	DIRKSEN DRIVE TRAIL FROM US 17/92 TO GEMINI SPRINGS PARK VOLUSIA		BIKE PATH/TRAIL							
	.000		0 0 0							
8887 504 A			SU			10,000	0	0	0	0

 HIGHWAYS

ITEM NO	DESCRIPTION	OLD ITEM							
COUNTY	TYPE OF WORK								
RDWY ID	EXIST/IMPROVE/ADD (LANES)								
FEDERAL AID NUMBER	AUTH DATE	FUND	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	RIGHT-OF-WAY	RAILROADS & UTILITIES	CONSTRUCTION	GRANTS & MISC.		
4248882	SR 15/600 (US 17/92) EMERGENCY ROADWAY REPAIR VOLUSIA	MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC EMERGENCY OPERATIONS							
	.000	0 0 0							
E081 105 E		ER08	0	0	0	0	30,383		
4249701	SOUTH STREET FROM LAKESIDE VILLAGE TO VOLUSIA	TURIE T SMALL SCHOOL TRAFFIC OPS IMPROVEMENT							
	79000095 .312 MI	2 0 0							
4224 064 C		SU	0	0	0	5,632	0		
4254821	WASHOUT REPAIR SAXON BLVD TROPICAL STORM VOLUSIA	FAY EMERGENCY OPERATIONS							
	.000	0 0 0							
E081 011 E		ER08	0	0	0	86,026	0		
4254861	WASHOUT REPAIRS MADE BY VOLUSIA COUNTY DUE TO VOLUSIA	TROPICAL STORM FAY. EMERGENCY OPERATIONS							
	.000	0 0 0							
E081 040 E		ER08	0	0	0	0	37,987		
4254891	WASHOUT REPAIR DR MLK AND VET MEM. PKWAY VOLUSIA	TROPICAL STORM FAY EMERGENCY OPERATIONS							
	.000	0 0 0							
E081 012 E		ER08	0	0	0	0	8,000		
4254911	SAXON BOULEVARD PUMPING OPERATIONS VOLUSIA	TROPICAL STORM FAY EMERGENCY OPERATIONS							
	.000	0 0 0							
E081 045 E		ER08	0	0	0	0	82,000		
4255071	DEBRIS REMOVAL VOLUSIA COUNTY LOCAL WORK VOLUSIA	TROPICAL STORM FAY EMERGENCY OPERATIONS							
	.000	0 0 0							
E081 024 E		ER08	0	0	0	0	23,000		

 HIGHWAYS

ITEM NO	DESCRIPTION	OLD ITEM								
COUNTY	RDWY ID	PROJ LGTH	FAC	TYPE OF WORK	EXIST/IMPROVE/ADD (LANES)	PRELIMINARY	RIGHT-OF-WAY	RAILROADS & UTILITIES	CONSTRUCTION	GRANTS & MISC.
FEDERAL AID NUMBER				AUTH DATE	FUND	ENGINEERING				
4256671	SIGNAL REPAIR									
VOLUSIA COUNTY LOCAL WORK				TROPICAL STORM FAY						
VOLUSIA				EMERGENCY OPERATIONS						
.000				0	0	0				
E081 025 E					ER08	0	0	0	0	41,726
4259381	TREE/DEBRIS REMOVAL									
VOLUSIA COUNTY FED ROADS				TROPICAL STORM FAY						
VOLUSIA				EMERGENCY OPERATIONS						
.000				0	0	0				
E081 101 E					ER08	0	0	0	0	46,880
4263321	8TH STREET									
FROM CARSWELL AVENUE TO GROVE AVENUE				RESURFACING						
VOLUSIA										
79000079	.110 MI			2	2	0				
ARRA 259 B					FSSU	0	0	0	17,600	0
4263501	CR 4139									
FROM KICKLIGHTER RD TO LAKE HELEN CITY LIMITS				RESURFACING						
VOLUSIA										
79580000	3.794 MI			2	2	0				
ARRA 274 B					FSSU	0	0	0	300,000	0
4263511	RIVERSIDE DRIVE									
FROM 6TH ST (S CAUSEWAY) TO LYTTLE AVE				RESURFACING						
VOLUSIA										
79000130	.839 MI			2	2	0				
ARRA 275 B					FSSU	0	0	0	600,000	0
4263531	CANALVIEW BLVD									
FROM NOVA RD TO DUNLAWTON AVE				RESURFACING						
VOLUSIA										
79000121	.939 MI			2	2	0				
ARRA 276 B					FSSU	0	0	0	51,425	0

10/16/09
 16.16.50
 VOLUSIA MPO

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 FEDERAL OBLIGATIONS - PROJECT DETAIL
 FFY 2009 (10/01/2008 - 09/30/2009)

 HIGHWAYS

ITEM NO	DESCRIPTION	OLD ITEM	TYPE OF WORK		PRELIMINARY	RIGHT-OF-WAY	RAILROADS &	CONSTRUCTION	GRANTS &	
COUNTY	RDWY ID	PROJ LGTH	EXIST/IMPROVE/ADD (LANES)		ENGINEERING		UTILITIES		MISC.	
FEDERAL AID NUMBER	FAC	AUTH DATE	FUND							
4267301	8TH STREET									
	FROM RIDGEWOOD AVE/US 1 TO DAYTONA AVENUE									
VOLUSIA			RESURFACING							
79000079	.129 MI	2	2	0	0	0	0	13,700	0	
ARRA 318 B			FSSU							
HIGHWAYS TOTALS:					22,507,950	1,643,420	4,786,391	453,720	15,074,443	549,976

10/16/09
 16.16.50
 VOLUSIA MPO

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 FEDERAL OBLIGATIONS - PROJECT DETAIL
 FFY 2009 (10/01/2008 - 09/30/2009)

 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

ITEM NO	DESCRIPTION	OLD ITEM							
COUNTY	RDWY ID	PROJ LGTH	FAC	TYPE OF WORK	EXIST/IMPROVE/ADD (LANES)	PRELIMINARY	RIGHT-OF-WAY	RAILROADS &	GRANTS &
	FEDERAL AID NUMBER			AUTH DATE	FUND	ENGINEERING		UTILITIES	MISC.
								CONSTRUCTION	
4163571	VOLUSIA CO UPWP								
	FY 2008/2009								
	VOLUSIA			TRANSPORTATION PLANNING					
	.000			0	0	0			
0260	046 M			PL		871,340	0	0	0
4209951	BIKE PED SCHOOL								
	SAFETY REVIEW STUDY VOLUSIA COUNTYWIDE								
	VOLUSIA			TRANSPORTATION PLANNING					
	.000			0	0	0			
4224	041 C			SE		250,000	0	0	0
PLANNING TOTALS:					1,121,340	1,121,340	0	0	0

PROJECT INDEX